

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/334,256	06/16/1999	SANDRA RICHARDSON	M3653.0001/P	5134
	590 01/21/2004		EXAMINER	
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 2101 L STREET NW			THOMPSON JR, FOREST JEE 23 F	
	N, DC 20037-1526	HECELVERY	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1451123/04	3625	11 20
	· ·	JAN 2 2 2004	DATE MAILED: 01/21/2004	7-38
		JDG/ET		
	÷	_ [[1		
		Dw 1/23/04		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1 450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Paper No. 31

Application Number: 09/334,256

Filing Date: June 16, 1999

Appellant(s): RICHARDSON ET AL.

Mr. Jon D. Grossman For Appellant

Response To Order

This Paper is in response to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences

Order Returning Undocketed Appeal to Examiner (see Paper #28).

Art Unit: 3625

Paper #28 ordered that the application be returned to the examiner for taking corrective action with respect to the amendment filed on December 8, 2000 (see Paper #6), notification to appellants in writing as to the proper status of the amendment, and for such further action as may be appropriate.

Appellants were contacted (see Paper #29) and requested to submit a correct and current copy of the claims that they are currently appealing, this copy of the claims to be filed as the set of currently appealed claims in the application, to be a complete listing of the currently appealed claims, and to supercede all previously filed amendments. Appellants' Submission To Verify Claims On Appeal (see Paper #30) filed 01/06/04 provides a complete and accurate listing of the claims on appeal (see Paper #24, Appeal Brief) previously treated by examiner in Examiner's Answer (see Paper #25) mailed 01/14/03. A copy of Appellants' claims on Appeal from Paper #30 is at Appendix A of this Paper.

The application is being returned by this Action to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences.

Jeffrey A. Smith

Acting SPE

APPENDIX A

Copy of Claims On Appeal

Docket No.: M3653.0001/P001

1. (Previously Presented) A method for modeling multiple tasks for multiple users comprising the steps of:

breaking a project into said multiple tasks;

activating a current tasking horizon, said tasking horizon comprising one of a plurality of time frames over which said multiple tasks can be completed;

selecting a language for at least one of said multiple tasks;

receiving an actual date for said at least one of said multiple tasks;

receiving an estimated date for said at least one task;

calculating a first negative churn if said received estimated date is created in or moved into said current tasking horizon;

calculating a first positive churn if said received estimated date is deleted or moved out of said current tasking horizon;

calculating a second positive churn if said received estimated date exists in said current tasking horizon and said received actual date is moved out of or is created outside of said current tasking horizon;

calculating a third positive churn is said received actual date is moved out of said current tasking horizon and an accompanying received estimated date is not in said current tasking horizon;

calculating a second negative churn when said received actual date is created in or is moved into said current tasking horizon and said received estimated date is not in said current tasking horizon; and

receiving language that corresponds to said actual date, wherein a verb describes a reason for said actual date and for said churn.

Docket No.: M3653.0001/P001

2. (Previously Presented) The method as claimed in claim 1 further comprising the step of:

classifying said received verb as employee dependent.

3. (Previously Presented) The method as claimed in claim 1 further comprising the step of:

classifying said received verb as task dependent.

4. (Previously Presented) The method as claimed in claim 1 further comprising the step of:

classifying said received verb as environment dependent.

7. (Previously Presented) The method as claimed in claim 1 further comprising the steps of:

comparing said tasks of said project to previously performed tasks;

extracting previously performed task completion data, said data including previous churn data and risk factor data; and computing an expected task completion time based at least in part on said previously performed task completion data.

8. (Previously Presented) The method as claimed in claim 1 further comprising the steps of:

comparing said tasks of said project to previously performed tasks;

Docket No.: M3653.0001/P001

extracting a risk factor associated with said previously performed tasks;

and computing a new risk factor based at least in part on said extracted risk factor.

9. (Previously Presented) A method for modeling tasks comprising the steps of: breaking a project into multiple tasks, wherein there is at least a first task and a second task;

selecting a current tasking horizon from a plurality of potential event horizons representing a plurality of timeframes;

selecting at least two verbs for said first task;
selecting at least two verbs for said second task;
assigning said first task to a first task assignment station;
assigning said second task to a second task assignment station;
receiving a predicted start date and a predicted completion date for said first task
from said first task assignment station;

receiving a predicted start date and a predicted completion date for said second task from said second task assignment station;

receiving an actual start date and a first verb for said first task;
receiving an actual start date and a second verb for said second task;
computing churn of said first task;
computing churn of said second task;
computing a risk factor for said first task based on said first verb; and
computing a risk factor for said second task based on said second verb.

Docket No.: M3653.0001/P001

10. (Previously Presented) An apparatus for task modeling comprising:

a management module for breaking a project into tasks, selecting a tasking horizon and for assigning at least two verbs for at least one of said tasks;

a task assignment station for receiving said at least one task and for entering a predicted start date for said at least one task and for entering an actual start date;

wherein said management module and said task assignment station are operationally connected and wherein said management module receives said predicted start date and said actual start date and computes a churn and assigns a risk factor to said task based on at least one of said verbs, wherein said at least one verb describes a reason for said churn.

- 11. (Previously Presented) The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein said actual date comprises an actual start date and an actual stop date.
- 12. (Previously Presented) The method as claimed in claim 11 wherein said method results in a reduction of said churn.
- 13. (Previously Presented) The method as claimed in claim 1 wherein said actual date comprises an actual start date and an actual stop date.
- 14. (Previously Presented) The method as claimed in claim 1 wherein said received estimated dates comprise an estimated start date and an estimated stop date.
- 15. (Previously Presented) The method as claimed in claim 1 further comprising assigning a risk factor to a second task which is dependent upon a first task.

Docket No.: M3653.0001/P001

- 16. (Previously Presented) The method as claimed in claim 9, wherein said second task is dependent on said first task.
- 17. (Previously Presented) A method for modeling tasks comprising the steps of:

breaking a project into tasks;

selecting a tasking horizon;

selecting at least two verbs for at least one of said tasks, wherein each of said verbs is task dependent;

receiving a predicted start date and a predicted stop date for said at least one task;

receiving an actual start date and an actual stop date for said at least one task;
receiving one of said at least two verbs that corresponds to said actual start and
stop dates, wherein said verb describes at least one reason for said actual start and stop
dates;

comparing said predicted start and stop dates with said actual start and stop dates;

computing churn of said at least one task; and

reviewing said churn in view of said at least one verb, and assigning a risk factor to said task based on said review.

18. (Previously Presented) The method as claimed in claim 17, wherein said risk factor is equal to a percentage of the time between said predicted start and stop dates.

Docket No.: M3653.0001/P001

- 19. (Previously Presented) The method as claimed in claim 7, wherein said previous risk factor is task dependent.
- 20. (Previously Presented) The apparatus as claimed in claim 10, wherein said apparatus classifies said churn as positive churn or negative churn.
- 21. (Previously Presented) The apparatus as claimed in claim 20, wherein said apparatus is utilized in a churn monitoring program to reduce said churn.
 - 22. (Previously Presented) An apparatus for task modeling comprising:

a management module for breaking a project into tasks, selecting a tasking horizon and for assigning at least two verbs for at least one of said tasks;

a task assignment station for receiving said at least one task and for entering a predicted start and stop date for said at least one task and for entering an actual start and stop date;

wherein said management module and said task assignment station are operationally connected and wherein said management module receives said predicted start and stop dates and said actual start and stop dates and computes a churn and assigns a risk factor to said task based on at least one of said verbs having a reason associated therewith used to describe said churn.

of:

Application No.: 09/334,256

Docket No.: M3653.0001/P001

23. (Previously Presented) A method for modeling tasks comprising the steps

breaking a project into a plurality of tasks; selecting a tasking horizon;

selecting at least two verbs for at least one of said tasks;

receiving a predicted start date for said at least one task;

receiving an actual start date for said at least one task;

receiving one of said at least two verbs that corresponds to said actual start date, wherein said verb describes a reason for said actual start date;

comparing said predicted start date with said actual start date;

computing churn of said at least one task;

computing a risk factor based at least in part on at least one of said computed churn and said received verb.